



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2012 /BJEMT/ 2391
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF BEHAVIOUR-BASED SAFETY IN ACCIDENT PREVENTION IN THE BONNY NLNG CONSTRUCTION PROJECT, NIGERIA

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper deals with an important issue in respect to worker safety in the framework of large-scale project. The sections of the paper should reflect the classical scientific work style: - The introduction can include all section 1.x, and 2.1 (literature review). - Captions of Figure 1 and Figure 2 are not clear - Methodology section should include all section from 2.2 to 4. - Recommendations should be include in the conclusions, but I would recommend a "results and discussion" plus a "conclusion" section.	I strongly feel that merging the introduction with section 1.x and 2.1(literature review), would make the introduction to be too large and boring to the readers hence I humbly suggest leaving the separate sections as they are. The captions on figure 1 and figure 2 are now clearly stated now with its citation. See line 166-168. The methodology section has been reduced to two (2.1.4 behaviour-based safety methodology see line 191 and 3.1 research methodology see line 213 since research methodology and BBS methodology subject areas are different. Conclusions and recommendations have been merged into one section as you suggested (see line 310) while results and discussion section still exists as a separate section (see line 236).



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I have found many typing errors, missing spaces among words which should be corrected.	The typing errors and missing spaces have been corrected during the major revision of the paper. I suggest you read through again.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		